

DETERMINATION OF OPTIMAL BIOTIC AND ABIOTIC FACTORS, FEED TYPES AND FEEDING REGIMES FOR FINGERLING PRODUCTION OF LAKE MALAWI CHAMBO, OREOCHROMIS KARONGAE (TREWAVAS 1941)

SAINAN H. , KASSAM D. , UEBERSCHÄR B., KANG'OMBE J. and VALETA J.



Seed Production In Malawi

- Over 6000 fish farmers in Malawi, & only 20 or less fingerling producers and non is certified.
- Species : *O. shiranus*, *C. rendalli* , *O mossambicus* , *O. karongae* and *C. gariepinus*
- Limited Fish Production due to inconsistent seed supply factors
- Poor feed quality and improper feeding practices
- Lack of proper understanding of the environmental optimal for fingerling production

Determining Feed Types And Stocking Densities

- Malawian-plus-Live feed, Malawian feed, Zambian-Novatek Feed, German Coppens feed.
- Stocking Densities: $2500\text{fry}/\text{m}^3$, $5000\text{fry}/\text{m}^3$, $7500\text{fry}/\text{m}^3$
- Egg Collection, Incubation and fry Stocking
- Feeding
 - Every two to four hrs
 - Ad lib feeding
 - Zooplankton feeding during the first week
- Culture Period: 35 days

Nursing Buckets

After complition



Suspended in big tanks



Feed Types

Local Feed



Commercial Feed



Hatchery Routine Operations

- Hatchery operations and water quality management
 - Management and Operation of devices
 - Water measurements
 - Temperature, pH, DO, Saturation, Ammonia,
 - General Cleaning of Equipment
 - Sampling Every 7 days
 - Weight and Length



Results

Treatment	Initial WT	Final WT(g)	WT Gain(g)	DWG(g)	SGR (%)	FCR	SR (%)
2500fy/m³							
CZAM	0.01	1.13	1.12	0.04	14.05	6.67	97.44
GEM	0.01	1.83	1.82	0.06	15.66	3.87	97.44
LMW	0.01	0.93	0.91	0.03	13.6	5.8	94.87
MW	0.01	1.23	1.22	0.04	14.62	5.71	92.31
5000fy/m³							
CZAM	0.01	0.86	0.85	0.03	12.88	5.8	97.33
GEM	0.01	1.57	1.55	0.05	14.31	3.03	97.33
LMW	0.01	0.74	0.73	0.02	12.57	5.23	96
MW	0.01	0.97	0.95	0.03	13.73	4.68	98.67
7500fy/m³							
CZAM	0.01	0.83	0.82	0.03	13	6.16	97.14
GEM	0.01	1.37	1.36	0.04	14.57	3.18	98.1
LMW	0.01	0.63	0.62	0.02	12.23	6.27	95.24
MW	0.01	0.97	0.95	0.03	13.71	5.05	61.9

Feed Type and Fish Growth

Feed Type	FWT(g)	WG(g)	ADWG(g)	SGR (%)	FCR	SR (%)
CZAM	0.94±0.06	0.93±0.06	0.03± 0.01	13.31± 0.06	6.21±0.09	95.30±0.12
GEM	1.61±0.06	1.60±0.06	0.05± 0.01	14.93± 0.08	3.32±0.067	97.62± 0.13
LMW	0.77 ±0.05	0.75±0.05	0.02± 0.01	12.80±0.08	6.77±0.12	97.37± 0.17
MW	1.06 ±0.05	1.04±0.05	0.03± 0.01	14.02 ±0.05	5.14±0.10	84.29±0.05
P-value	3.18e-10	3.471e-10	3.471e-10	6.50e-06	5.84e-10	0.206

Stocking Densities and Fish Growth

Stocking Density	Average of FWT(g)	Average of WG(g)	Average of ADWG(g)	Average of SGR (%)	Average of FCR	Average of SR (%)
A(2500/m ³)	1.30±0.10	1.28±0.10	0.04±0.02	14.55±0.07	5.48±0.15	97.33±0.18
B(5000/m ³)	1.04±0.09	1.02±0.09	0.02	0.03± 13.40±0.07	4.68± 0.14	95.51±0.10
C(7500/m ³)	0.95±0.08	0.94±0.08	0.01	0.03± 13.36±0.08	5.16±0.18	88.10± 0.8
P value	0.0508	0.0495	0.0495	0.00595	0.314	0.302

Testing For Best Temperature Ranges

- Used a range of 24°C to 28°C
- Stocking Densities : 2500fry/m³
- Feeding :
 - Used Malawian Feed
 - uniform amounts (16g)
- Culture Period: 35 days

Results

Temperature(⁰ C)	Initial Weight(g)	Average of FWT(g)	Average of Weight Gain(g)	Average of ADWG (g)	Average of SGR (%)	Average of FCR	Average of SR (%)
28	0.011	2.98± 0.09	2.89± 0.10	0.09± 0.02	11.10± 0.10	5.57 ± 0.13	98.6± 0.06
24	0.011	1.69 ± 0.10	1.60± 0.10	0.05± 0.03	9.16 ± 0.09	10.15± 0.28	96.01±0.06
P-value		0.003974	0.00394	0.00805	0.01099	0.008101	0.2879

Optimal Light Regimes

- 16L:8D and 24L
- Stocking Densities : 2500fry/m³
- Temperature was set at 28°C
- Feeding :
 - Used Malawian Feed
 - uniform amounts (16g)
- Culture Period: 35 days

Results

Light Regime(L:D)	Initial Weight (g)	FWT(g)	WG(g)	ADWG(g)	SGR (%)	FCR	SR (%)
24:0	0.013	3.18± 0.12	3.16± 0.11	0.08 ± 0.02	17.10± 0.08	3.22± 0.12	97.67 ± 0.07
16:8	0.013	1.93± 0.06	2.09 ± 0.12	0.05 ± 0.03	15.65 ± 0.07	5.13± 0.23	99.00± 0.06
P-value		0.005	0.01747	0.02131	0.02375	0.0272	0.7247

All male Seed Production

- 20 mg/kg, 30mg/kg, 60mg/kg and 90mg/kg
- 28 days after hatching
- Followed by an 8 months grow-out

Results

MT level	%Females	%males
20 mg/Kg	56.7	43.3
30 mg/Kg	44.4	55.6
60 mg/Kg	24.4	75.6
90 mg/Kg	44.4	56.7

Lessons

- Inadequate expertise in management of water parameters contribute a lot in poor fingerling quality.
- Good quality feed can reduce the culture period from fry to fingerling stages , thereby reducing fingerling production costs
- Extended periods of light during fry nursing maximises feeding activities thereby improving growth.
- It is not only the form , but the nutritive value of feed is of paramount importance
- Doing a Co-feeding with zooplanktons has not been successful until further research would be conducted

Existing Gaps

- Development of high quality feed using locally available ingredients
- Improving Brood stock quality to improve egg and fry quality
- Assessing the profitability of using solar powered indoor hatchery
 - Develop a model for resource poor farmers
- Live Feeding in Tilapia fingerling Production